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The good

- DNS is a success story
- DNS created a whole industry
  - you can make money on two or less letters ;-)  
- It scales in every direction
  - some people now even want to use it for RFID
  - biggest distributed database out there and in your Intranet
- It’s used beyond its initial usage (name to number conversion)
  - ENUM
  - Service discovery
  - Security/Spam protection
  - RFID
The bad

> DNS wasn’t designed with security in mind

> Cache poisoning was a problem since the early 1990s
  – People believed to have that fixed with QID (16 bit)
  – Some didn’t understand randomness (CA-1997-22, CVE-2007-2926)
  – Some got it right and used 32 bits from the start (including Daniel J. Bernstein)

> Last year Kaminsky discovered a new way to poison cache
  – 1.colt.net, 2.colt.net, 3.colt.net......
  – Suddenly 16 bit were not enough
  – We increased to 32 bit, but will this be enough for tomorrows networks

> A solution to DNS security problems does exist......
The ugly (DNSSEC)

> Let’s be clear DNSSEC will come
  - The rocket has been lit (we have a date for a signed root)
  - We don’t know yet what orbit it will reach

> Current problem statement
  - DNSSEC will protect against cache poisoning
  - DNSSEC is per Domain (~ 180 Million of them out there)
  - Protecting servers (~ 3 Million out there) would be easier
  - Daniel J. Bernstein was correct again (DNSCurve), but no market share
DNSSEC until now

> Development should be finished now
  - it is the third try of the IETF so should be ok
  - no so sure when reading namedroppers
  - software and tools are not all up to the latest spec

> Big change in operation of DNS service
  - DNS was very hard to break, and easy to setup (Install and forget)
  - DNSSEC will stop working soon if you not constantly manage it
    - Security always goes against convenience (signature lifetime)
    - A lot of it could be automated but....
    - The current tools/software for DNSSEC all suck (only Nominum seems to have some promising stuff coming soon)
DNSSEC deployment

- .se, .br, .cz,... some TLDs have already done that
- signing your zone without having a mechanism to delegate securely (DS records) is not DNSSEC deployment for TLDs
  - .org and others will still tell you so
  - doing this only increases traffic, but not security as NS records are not signed
- DNS provider changes still are a big unknown with DNSSEC
  - I’ve heard of one secure transfer in sweden
  - .org is working on it (shouldn’t they work on something else ;-) 
  - .de currently has ~100.000 transfers/provider changes per month
- Some scaling IMHO has not been thought of
  - Most of the current early adopters have a limited number of zones to care about
  - What about signing several million of small zones
- It will be a bumpy road
I am co-author of draft-livingood-dns-redirect which describes how DNS server shall handle that.

I first encountered the problem when Colt was obliged by law in 2005 to do that in Italy to stop the Italian people to gamble online.

Since then adoption has increased as well as other usages:
- 7 countries in the EU do it (only 3 have DNSSEC deployed at the moment)
- some others have voluntary redirects
- some providers do NXDOMAIN redirects in order to guide users to websites
- can protect against malware, trojans, phishing (e.g. Conficker)

There can be some bad usages (e.g. censorship), but the technology in itself isn’t bad.

Can it work with DNSSEC?
DNS Redirect and SEC

> Non validated answers will not be used/sent
  – for secured domains that have been properly delegated and have trust anchors

> If you want to block access that’s what you want anyway

> Only redirection will not work

> If the system giving out the redirection also is the system validating then even this will work when there is further validation

> Let’s see how is validating what:
The end to end myth

> DNSSEC was designed to protect the authenticity of the data from the zone owner to the end system requesting it (PC, laptop, etc)

> What actually is communicating (colors indicate DNSSEC support)

> As long as DSL routers are having problem proxying DNSSEC request as an OS vendor I wouldn’t enable DNSSEC
  – only 25% can proxy DNSSEC out of the box (http://download.nominet.org.uk/dnssec-cpe/DNSSEC-CPE-Report.pdf)

> There is one OS offering DNSSEC support out of the box
  – Fedora
  – Other Unixes including Mac OSX could configure it

> But wait didn’t Windows recently gained DNSSEC support?
The Windows has DNSSEC myth

> I did stop using Windows at home in 2001
  – So I welcome comments from Windows admins
  – I think my DNSSEC findings are accurate though
  – Thanks to MS for providing trial software
  – All tests were done on Windows Server 2008R2
  – DNSSEC Client support should be identical in Windows 7

> After installing Windows Server 2008R2 I did a search for DNSSEC:
New Features in DNS for Windows Server 2008 R2

Because DNS is often subject to man-in-the-middle, spoofing, and cache-poisoning attacks that are hard to defend against, the DNS server and client in Windows Server® 2008 R2 introduce support for Domain Name System Security Extensions (DNSSEC). In short, DNSSEC allows for a DNS zone and all the records in the zone to be cryptographically signed. When a DNS server hosting a signed zone receives a query, it returns the digital signatures in addition to the records queried for. A resolver or another server can obtain the public key of the public/private key pair and validate that the responses are authentic and have not been tampered with. In order to do so, the resolver or server must be configured with a trust anchor for the signed zone, or for a parent of the signed zone.

The core DNSSEC extensions are specified in RFCs 4033, 4034, and 4035 and add origin authority, data integrity, and authenticated denial of existence to DNS. In addition to several new concepts and operations for both the DNS server and the DNS client, DNSSEC introduces four new resource records (DNSKEY, RRSIG, NSEC, and DS) to DNS.

The following changes are available in DNS server in Windows Server 2008 R2:
- Ability to sign a zone and host signed zones.
- Support for changes to the DNSSEC protocol.
- Support for DNSKEY, RRSIG, NSEC, and DS resource records.

The following changes are available in DNS client in Windows Server 2008 R2:
- Ability to indicate knowledge of DNSSEC in queries.
- Ability to process the DNSKEY, RRSIG, NSEC, and DS resource records.
- Ability to check whether the DNS server with which it communicated has performed validation on the client’s behalf.

The DNS client’s behavior with respect to DNSSEC is controlled through the Name Resolution Policy Table (NRPT), which stores settings that define the DNS client’s behavior. The NRPT is typically managed through Group Policy.

Additional references
- What’s New in DNS [http://go.microsoft.com/fwlink/?LinkId=139322]
Windows and DNSSEC continued

> That is all the info on DNSSEC that Microsoft provides on the system

> The link gives the same content

> If you search long enough there is one document though


> Some initial findings for authoritative zones on reading it

  – DNSSEC zones can not live in AD and can not receive dynamic updates

  – You have to work with textfiles and command line (No GUI support)

  – The actual process of getting to a secure zone is 8 pages with lot’s of dnscmd command lines with lots of options. Example:

    – DnsCmd /OfflineSign /SignZone /input <input zone file> /output <output zone file> /zone <zone name> /signkey /cert /friendlyname ksk1-<zone name> /signkey /cert /friendlyname zsk1-<zone name> /signkey /cert /friendlyname zsk2-<zone name>
Windows and DNSSEC continued (Recursive Resolver)

> Windows 2008R2 has a recursive resolver
  - Resolver can do validation
  - You can configure multiple trust anchors
  - No NSEC3 (RFC5155) support
  - No SHA256 (RFC4509/RFC5702) support

> The Resolver does work as expected, but
  - with all new TLDs using NSEC3
  - root will be signed with SHA256

> In the current state the resolver is not usable
Windows and DNSSEC continued (DNSSEC Client)

> Windows now has a: Non-validating security-aware stub resolver

> What the heck is this

  – Well first and foremost it doesn’t perform validation
  – It simply examines the content of the AD bit (of course bad guys will not fake that ;-) )
  – To make sure that this is not faked MS want’s you to do an IPSEC Tunnel to the resolver (which is something providers love to do for free ;-) )
  – AD Examination can be set on domain or TLD level
  – If you do a TLD e.g *.se everything below it has to be secured
  – By doing the above you have denied access to 99.78% of swedish domains

> Conclusion: The Windows DNS Client even with only AD bit examination is not usable in normal Internet usage

> Final conclusion: Windows does some DNSSEC, but it is not usable
Some DNSSEC statistics

> I did walks of some signed TLDs at the beginning of November 2009
> .se has 0.22% of it’s domains signed (1957)
> out of these 4% have validation failures (77)
> 1638 DNSSEC domains belong to four big registrars without errors
> .cz has 0.22% of it’s domains signed (1340)
> out of these 10% have validation failures (137)
> the biggest registrar has 1000 DNSSEC domains
> .bg has ~1% of it’s domains signed (192)
> out of the 7% have validation failures (14)
> the biggest registrar has 174 DNSSEC domains
> register.bg does not validate ;-)
After looking at the above my predictions are:

- DNSSEC adaption initially will be slow
  - It will kick of when the mass providers offer it as standard
  - Secure transfers have to work
  - Better, easy to use software and tools are desperately needed

- ISPs and TLD operators have to make sure that validation works
  - Validation has to be monitored or it will be turned of soon

- Clients will not do validation in the near future

- Legal authorities will demand more DNS redirection

- ISP will use this technology to do other things
  - protect customers
  - guide customers (and maybe make money)
Thank you

Questions?